Challenges and Strategies for Utilizing High-rPET Content in PET Bottle Manufacturing

Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering
ENGIN351: Writing in Engineering
Professor Edward Cottrill
August 18th, 2025

Introduction

Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is one of the most widely used polymers in the packaging industry. Its lightweight nature, transparency, and strong barrier properties make it a suitable material for beverage bottles. PET is sought after because it enables mass production at low costs. However, the characteristics that make PET valuable also create environmental challenges. This is so because, billions of PET bottles are consumed every year and end up in landfills or the environment. The plastic breaks down into microplastics, polluting the soil, waterways, and oceans (Geyer et al., 2017).

Growing awareness of plastic waste has driven sustainability goals worldwide. To support the future of sustainability, recycled polyethylene terephthalate (rPET) has emerged as a crucial material in helping to meet these goals. rPET is produced by retrieving used PET products through mechanical or chemical recycling processes. The rPET is then reintroduced into new manufacturing streams. The reduced dependency on virgin PET (vPET) helps lowers greenhouse gas emissions while also helping companies meet regulatory and voluntary sustainability targets (Shen et al., 2010).

Despite rPET's benefits, integrating high levels of rPET into bottle manufacturing is complex. Using rPET often results in bottles with reduced optical and mechanical qualities, contamination, and inconsistent quality compared to virgin PET. These limitations present engineering challenges for manufacturers trying to integrate higher rPET while maintaining product safety, appearance, and performance standards.

The objective of this paper is to examine the engineering challenges associated with high-rPET content in PET bottle manufacturing and to evaluate some of the current solutions being developed to overcome these challenges. This paper focuses on material reinforcement strategies, closed-loop recycling technologies, and process optimization methods. The feasibility of each method and its effects on product performance, sustainability, large-scale applicability, and cost-effectiveness are evaluated.

Challenges in High-rPET Blends

Although rPET is a crucial material that helps us meet sustainability goals, its use in high amounts poses problems. A significant issue that is associated with high rPET content is its reduced mechanical strength. Tensile testing and structural analysis of bottles with larger amounts of rPET reveal that they become less stiff and more prone to deformation (Nguyen et al., 2024). The higher recycled content disrupted the crystalline structure and weakened the polymer chain orientation; these are both key components for material strength and barrier performance. This loss of stiffness makes rPET rich bottles less dependable compared to those made with more virgin PET as beverage bottles are expected to handle carbonated pressure, transport, and rigorous use.

Another issue is that bottles with high rPET content often show poor optical quality. It was found that an increase in the percentage of recycled material is directly linked with haze, and this was strongly tied to contaminant particles inside the polymer (Chacon et al., 2020). If rPET feedstock of lower quality was used, yellowing and discoloration were found to be present in bottles. Though these issues appear to be solely aesthetics based, they have heavy importance in the beverage industry as companies rely on clear and colorless bottles to appeal to consumer's standards. It was also observed that bottles with low intrinsic viscosity (which is quite common in rPET rich blends) tend to be more susceptible to environmental stress cracking. Although this is not directly linked to recycled content, it highlights how rPET could indirectly increase the susceptibility of early failure.

Figure 1. Example of haze defect in PET bottle manufacturing (Brandau, 2022).

Processing challenges also become more prevalent when high rPET content is used. Wawrzyniak et al. (2025) investigated the behavior of rPET blends under different heating and cooling conditions during stretch blow molding. They found that higher recycled content increases the likelihood of microcavitation, which is the phenomenon of small voids forming within the material. They also found that solid-state post-condensation (SSP is a process used to help increase the intrinsic viscosity of bottle grade PET) was less effective at high rPET ratios. This means that there are challenges posed with SSP's use in the manufacturing process.

Lastly, a concern linked to high rPET use is the potential for chemical migration from the bottle to the fluid. Thoden van Velzen et al. (2020) investigated the transfer of substances from rPET-blended bottles into water. They found that most contaminants were compounds such as acetaldehyde and ethylene glycol; these are known contaminants and remained within food-contact safety limits, but benzene was detected at low concentrations. The presence of benzene was strongly correlated to contamination of the recycled feedstock due to chlorine, possibly from traces of polyvinyl chloride (PVC). Even though further risk assessment showed that the associated public health concern was low, the presence of trace amounts of benzene highlights the importance of regulations that can enforce strict standards for rPET quality. Contaminants picked up due to recycling or collection create risks that virgin PET does not face.

Engineering Solutions for High-rPET Integration

To increase the proportion of recycled PET (rPET) in bottle manufacturing, several engineering strategies have been proposed to overcome the weakness of recycled material. Three main approaches stand out and they are: reinforcing rPET with additional materials, chemically processing rPET back to its monomers for repolymerization, and lastly optimizing processing conditions to maximize performance of blended bottles. Each solution offers a scope for further development.

1. Material Reinforcement with Natural Fibers and Fillers

One way to deal with the weaker properties of rPET is to reinforce it with fibers or fillers. Natural fibers like jute, hemp, and sisal have robust mechanical properties. For example, tensile strength values for these fibers range from 400–900 MPa and their stiffness goes up to 70 GPa. This is much higher than rPET by itself. Their high cellulose content contributes to their strength, and hemicellulose and lignin levels influence their toughness and durability (Yadav et al., 2023). Looking at the data in Table 1, it is clear that fibers like hemp or sisal can carry a lot of the load when utilized in a composite, so when they are added to rPET they help increase stiffness and strength.

Table 1. Chemical constituents and mechanical properties of different natural cellulosic fibers that have been studied for reinforcement of polymer composites (Yadav et al., 2023).

Fibers	Composition			Physical properties		Mechanical properties		
	Cellulose (wt. %)	Hemicellulose (wt.%)	Lignin (wt.%)	Moisture Content (wt.%)	Density (g/cm^3)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Elongation at break (%)
Flax	64.1	16.7	2.0	8–12	1.4	800–1500	60–80	1.2–1.6
Ramie	68.6	13.1	0.6	7.5–17	1.5	500	44	2
Hemp	74.4	17.9	3.7	6.2–12	1.48	550–900	70	1.6
Jute	64.4	12	11.8	12.5–13.7	1.46	400–800	10–30	1.8
Coir	32–43	0.15–0.25	40–45	8	1.25	220	6	15–25
Sisal	65.8	12.0	9.9	10–22	1.33	600–700	38	2–3
Bagasse	55.2	16.8	25.3	20–28	1.2	20–290	19.7–27.1	1.1
Henequen	60	28	8	10–12	1.4	430–580	–	3–4.7
Pineapple	81	–	12.7	14	1.5	170–1627	82	1–3
Banana	60–65	19	5–10	8–10	1.35	355	33.8	5.3
Kenaf	53.4	33.9	21.2	6.2–12	1.2	295	–	2.7–6.9
Cotton	82.7	5.7	–	7.85–8.5	1.5	400	12	3–10
Bamboo	48.2–73.8	12.5–73.3	10.2–21.4	11–17	1.1	500–575	27–40	1.9–3.2

To make the reinforcement work, the fibers can be combined with rPET through melt blending or extrusion before being shaped by injection or compression molding. A big part of the process is making sure that the fibers stick well to the polymer. Without this, cracks and voids form at the interface between the fiber and the polymer. This is why treatments like alkali or silane (SiH_4) coating are used, since they help clean the fiber surface and improve bonding (Yadav et al., 2023).

Although fiber reinforcement is effective, it still has drawbacks. Natural fibers are brittle, so the overall toughness and elongation typically decrease with use. Another major limitation is recyclability. While rPET on its own can be recycled multiple times, once fibers are added it is hard to separate them, so the composite is less recyclable after addition (Yadav et al., 2023).

Another reinforcement approach is to use fillers like green mussel shell (GMS) powder with a coupling agent. Adding about 10 weight percent of GMS powder shows to improve thermal stability and slightly raises the tensile strength. The calcium carbonate in shells provides stiffness and uses waste material at the same time. However, when more filler is added, the calcium carbonate particles clump together, which causes roughness and weak spots that lower the composite's strength (Ariani et al., 2025). These results look promising only to a certain extent, and since they are only tested on a small scale, there are still questions about how useful they will be in larger production.

2. Closed-Loop Chemical Recycling

Chemical recycling is an approach that breaks PET down into its base monomers before turning it back into polymer. This way, the material essentially resets to almost virgin-like PET and this helps eliminate the usual problems with rPET such as reduced crystallinity and haze (Yang et al., 2025). The main chemical recycling methods include hydrolysis, glycolysis, methanolysis, and enzymatic depolymerization. Glycolysis is the method by which bis-hydroxyethyl terephthalate (BHET) is produced, and then it can be purified and re-polymerized. Methanolysis produces dimethyl terephthalate (DMT) and ethylene glycol (EG), but it requires high temperature, pressure, and significant energy input. Enzymatic depolymerization runs under mild conditions and avoids harsh solvents, but enzyme cost and slow reaction rates are still major drawbacks (Yang et al., 2025).

The main benefit of chemical recycling is that it produces monomers that are pure enough to make rPET identical to virgin PET. This is especially important for the food and beverage industry, as the regulations require high purity with regulated amounts of contaminants migrating into the product. Chemical recycling potentially makes it possible to close the loop completely and keep PET in circulation without the quality loss seen in mechanical methods (Yang et al., 2025).

However, chemical recycling has limitations. The processes are expensive, mainly because they consume high energy and pressure. Large-scale applicability has not been tested, and the process requires high-quality feedstock to avoid contamination. That means sorting and collection systems must be far more efficient than they are now. While enzymatic depolymerization could be more scalable in the future, as of right now it is mostly in the pilot stages of development (Yang et al., 2025).

3. Process Optimization in Manufacturing

The third way to integrate high rPET content is by improving how the bottles are processed. Careful control of heating and cooling during the stretch blow molding manufacturing process has been shown to reduce microcavitation and improve consistency in bottles that have a high amount of rPET (Wawrzyniak et al., 2025). The research shows that rPET is more sensitive to processing conditions than virgin PET. However, with the right preform heating and slower cooling, the bottles come out with fewer microcavitation defects and better strength.

Intrinsic viscosity (IV) is important for maintaining polymer chain length and stiffness, but it is usually lost during recycling. Solid-state post-condensation (SSP) is a method that helps by restoring IV. However, the improvement is not the same for all blend ratios. High-rPET bottles do not benefit as much as vPET-rich ones, which means SSP can help but not fix the problem completely (Wawrzyniak et al., 2025). Another approach is blending. Mixing virgin PET with rPET makes the bottles more stable in terms of molecular orientation and stiffness, and adjusting the ratio is one of the easiest strategies to apply (Nguyen et al., 2024).

The benefit of process optimization is that it can be done with the same equipment already used in manufacturing, so it is cheaper and faster to implement than something like chemical recycling. The downside is that at high rPET levels, the material is too degraded, so no amount of processing can fully recover material performance. This makes optimization more of a short-term fix that allows rPET to be used at industrial levels for now.

Conclusion

Recycled PET (rPET) is crucial for reducing plastic waste and promoting more sustainable packaging, but producing bottles with a high percentage of rPET is challenging. Studies have found that excessive use of rPET can weaken bottle strength, cause hazing or yellowing and it sometimes leads to processing or potential safety problems. These are significant concerns for companies in the beverage packaging industry that require their products to be high quality, aesthetic, and sustainable.

This paper examines three leading solutions. Reinforcing rPET with fibers or fillers strengthens bottles, but it reduces toughness and recyclability as it is challenging to reuse fiber-reinforced composites. Chemical recycling is an approach that breaks down PET to its basic components and it is promising in the long term, but as of right now it is costly and energy intensive. Process improvements such as adjusting heating and cooling during blow molding or using solid-state post-condensation can be implemented into the current manufacturing processes but definitive solutions are required.

In conclusion, there is no single perfect solution. The path forward is likely to use a combination of methods such as better reinforcement, chemical recycling technologies, and smarter manufacturing techniques. Stricter quality control and better material collection systems will make rPET more reliable as a raw material. Although challenges remain, continued research and investment will be key to making high-rPET bottles more practical and helping the packaging industry move closer to real circular sustainability.

References

Ariani, D. B., Nisa, K. S., Aryanti, F. I., & Hanifati, G. S. (2025). Properties of Recycled Polyethylene terephthalate (RPET)/Stearic Acid/Green Mussel Shell (GMS) Composite. *Jurnal Rekayasa Kimia & Lingkungan*, *20*(1), 219–230. https://doi.org/10.23955/rkl.v20i1.45134

Brandau, O. (2022, June 26). Solve four common problems in PET Stretch-Blow molding. *Gardner Business Media, Inc.* https://www.ptonline.com/articles/solving-four-common-problems-in-pet-stretch-blow-molding

Chacon, F. A., Brouwer, M. T., & Van Velzen, E. U. T. (2020). Effect of recycled content and rPET quality on the properties of PET bottles, part I: Optical and mechanical properties. *Packaging Technology and Science*, *33*(9), 347–357. https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.2490

Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, *3*(7). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782

Nguyen, T., Luo, Y., Chevalier, L., & Jacquet, B. (2024). Impact of the proportion between virgin and recycled polyethylene terephthalate (rPET) on induced microstructure and mechanical stiffness. *Polymer Engineering and Science*. https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.27044

Shen, L., Worrell, E., & Patel, M. K. (2010). Environmental impact assessment of man-made cellulose fibres. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, *55*(2), 260–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.10.001

Van Velzen, E. U. T., Brouwer, M. T., Stärker, C., & Welle, F. (2020). Effect of recycled content and rPET quality on the properties of PET bottles, part II: Migration. *Packaging Technology and Science*, *33*(9), 359–371. https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.2528

Wawrzyniak, P., Karaszewski, W., Safandowska, M., & Idczak, R. (2024). Effect of RPET content and Preform Heating/Cooling Conditions in the stretch blow molding process on microcavitation and Solid-State Post-Condensation of VPET-RPET Blend: Part II—Statistical Analysis and Interpretation of Tests. *Materials*, *18*(1), 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma18010036

Yadav, Y. K., Dixit, G., & Dixit, S. (2023). Natural fiber reinforced rPET/polyester composites: a review on development, mechanical performance, and sustainable management.

Polymer-Plastics Technology and Materials, *62*(14), 1823–1843. https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2023.2237100

Yang, T., Fan, Q., He, H., Gao, C., Yang, Z., & Xu, J. (2025). Closed-loop recycling for poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) plastic: depolymerization, monomer separation, and recycled PET (rPET). *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 163038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2025.163038